



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,711 02/07/2001		Tomoji Asada	450100-02994	4182	
20999	7590	10/14/2005		EXAMINER	
FROMMER		ENCE & HAUG	TRAN, 1	TRAN, THAI Q	
NEW YORK, NY 10151				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2616		

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		09/778,711	ASADA ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Thai Tran	2616						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONET	.  the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status									
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro							
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Applicati	on Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 February 2005</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Carelacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to a contract of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to be a contracted the oath of the oath oath of the oath of the oath of the oath of the oath oath oath oath oath oath oath oath	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:							

A LILL OF THE

Art Unit: 2616

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed July 25, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In re pages 9-11, applicants argue that Itoh and Liebenow, individually or in combination, do not teach or suggest a receiving device in which control of an external recording device is disabled if the received program is found copy protected before recording that program onto an external recording device; whereby the external recording device is prevented from recording received copy protected programs as recited in independent claims 1, 7, and 13.

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Ito discloses in col. 31, lines 41-61 that "The moving-image readout/reception device 80 is usually furnished with the reproducing display device 81 or/and the video recording (storing) device 82 ... the copy control information contained in the read-out/received data controls if the moving image is to be actually outputted (to be recorded or/and displayed), so as to permit or bar the image output... the output control can be performed immediately". From the above passage, it is clear that the copy control information contained in the read-out/received data is used to bar the image output which anticipates the claimed "a receiving device in which control of an external recording device is disabled if the received program is found copy protected before recording that program onto an external recording device; whereby the external recording device is

prevented from recording received copy protected programs". Thus, Itoh does indeed disclose the alleged claimed limitation of independent claims 1, 7, and 13.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itoh et al (US 6,700,989 B1) in view of Liebenow (US 6,601,074 B1) as set forth in the last Office Action.

Regarding claim 1, Itoh et al discloses a receiving system (Fig. 27) composed of a receiving device, a monitor device for displaying an output of said receiving device, and an external recording device to be controlled by said receiving device, said receiving device comprising:

Art Unit: 2616

external device control means (a readout/reception device 80 of Fig. 27, col. 31, lines 29-61) for setting an operation of said external recording device; and

control means (a readout/reception device 80 of Fig. 27, col. 31, line 29 to col. 32, line 59 and col. 34, lines 55-65) for, when a recording input is given, determining whether or not a program being received is copy protected, setting said external recording device to a recording state if said program is initially found not copy protected, and disabling control said external recording device if said program is found copy protected before recording said received program onto said external recording device; whereby said external recording device is prevented from recording received copy protected programs. However, Itoh et al does not specifically discloses on-screen display control means for displaying a screen corresponding to various setting states and arranging items on said screen.

Liebenow teaches that the program can be requested for recording using the electronic program guide (EPG) (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 18-39 and from col. 4, line 66 to col. 5, line 57).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the capability of requesting a program to be recorded by using electronic program guide as taught by Liebenow into Itoh et al's system in order to simplify the process of requesting program to be recorded.

Regarding claim 2, the proposed combination of Itoh et al and Liebenow discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed above with respect to claim 1 except for providing the claimed wherein, if said program is found copy protected when

Art Unit: 2616

said recording input is given, a warning indicative of copy protection is displayed on said screen.

The capability of displaying a warning indicative of copy protection on an onscreen display device is old and well known in the art and; therefore, Official Notice is taken.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the well known displaying a warning indicative of copy protection on an on-screen display device into Itoh et al's system in order to notify the user that the program can not be recorded so that the user can determine whether to record the program again.

Regarding claim 3, Itoh et al also discloses the claimed wherein, when said recording input is given, an on-screen display is provided for stopping recording (col. 31, lines 29-40 and col. 34, lines 55-65).

Regarding claim 4. Itoh et al discloses the claimed wherein, when said recording input is given, a button for stopping recording is put in a selected state on said screen (col. 31, lines 29-40 and col. 34, lines 55-65).

Regarding claim 5, Liebenow teaches the claimed wherein said external device control means controls said external recording device by using an AV mouse (the mouse disclosed in col. 3, lines 2-17).

Regarding claim 6, the proposed combination of Itoh et al and Liebenow discloses all the claimed limitations as discussed above with respect to claim 1 except for providing the claimed wherein said external device control means controls said

Art Unit: 2616

external recording device by using an IEEE 1394 interface.

Itoh et al also disclosed in col. 2, lines 45-55 the well known IEEE1394 interface.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the well known IEEE 1394 into the combination of Itoh et al and Liebenow in order to increase the transmitting speed of the data because IEEE 1394 has high transfer speed.

Claims 7-12 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claims 1-6 above.

Method claims 13-18 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in apparatus claims 1-6 above.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7382. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

Art Unit: 2616

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTQ

HAI TRANSMER

Page 7